

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,192	12/20/2001	Gyanesh P. Khare		9714
75	90 06/08/2006		EXAM	INER

RICHMOND, HITCHCOCK, FISH & DOLLAR P.O. Box 2443 Bartlesville, OK 74005

LANGEL, WAYNE A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1754

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/027,192	KHARE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Wayne Langel	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears n the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMM 36(a). In no event, however, n will apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to becc	IUNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  NONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ap</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 April 2006</u> .					
· <u> </u>	·—					
•	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) 1-55 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dispected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Into-	view Summary (PTO-413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) U Notic	e of Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,192

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 2-17, drawn to a sorbent composition, classified in class 502, subclass 400.
- Claims 18-31, drawn to a process of making a sorbent, classified in class
   subclass 400.
- III. Claims 34-55, drawn to a process for removing sulfur from a hydrocarbon-containing fluid stream and a product produced by such process, classified in class 208, subclass 243.

Claims 1, 32 and 33 link(s) inventions I, II and III. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1, 32 and 33. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/027,192

Art Unit: 1754

application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, such as one which does not include the detailed limitations as recited in claims 2-17.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as one which does not include the detailed limitations as recited in claims 2-17.

Inventions II and III are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are

Application/Control Number: 10/027,192

Art Unit: 1754

either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the processes have different functions, i.e., the function of using the composition versus the function of making the composition.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,192 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754